APRIL 23, 2026 | STATE & LOCAL TRENDS

Illinois 2026 Employment Law Changes: Where Compliance Breaks Down in Practice

BY MARK ISHU

Illinois employers are facing a steady stream of employment law changes in 2026. Some create entirely new obligations, while others expand existing ones in ways that are easy to miss if employers are still relying on older policies, template agreements, or legacy practices. Several of this year's changes directly affect employment agreements, leave administration, payroll practices, and workplace documentation.

Many of these changes are not difficult to identify. The challenge is making sure existing agreements, policies, and day-to-day practices have actually been updated to reflect them. In many cases, that is where the real exposure arises. The changes discussed below reflect how these issues are playing out in practice.

Employment Agreements Are Narrower Under the Workplace Transparency Act

The most significant 2026 change for many Illinois employers may be the amendments to the Illinois Workplace Transparency Act (820 ILCS 96), which took effect on January 1, 2026. As amended, the Act reinforces that employers cannot use agreements—whether employment agreements, separation agreements, or other workplace documents—to restrict employees from reporting unlawful conduct, participating in investigations, or otherwise exercising statutory rights, including engaging in protected concerted activity (i.e., raising workplace concerns with or on behalf of other employees).

At a high level, that principle is not new. Federal whistleblower statutes and National Labor Relations Board precedent already limit provisions that could chill reporting or protected concerted activity. What is different here is that Illinois now places those limitations directly into a state-law framework governing the validity and enforceability of employment agreements.

Under 820 ILCS 96/1-35, an employee who successfully challenges a noncompliant agreement may recover consequential damages, attorneys' fees, and costs. Courts or agencies may also decline to enforce the provision altogether.

That matters in practice. Employers often rely on agreement provisions early in a case to enforce confidentiality obligations or shape the scope of a dispute. If a provision is viewed as overbroad, the employer may lose that protection at the outset, and the agreement itself may become part of the employee's claim rather than a

defense tool.

Practical takeaway: Employers should not assume existing templates are compliant. Agreement language should be reviewed with a focus on how it would be read by a regulator or court—particularly in the context of employee reporting and participation in investigations.

NICU Leave: A New Law That Creates Familiar Risks

The new Family Neonatal Intensive Care Leave Act (820 ILCS 157), which will be effective on June 1, 2026, requires covered employers to provide up to 10 (for employers with 16-50 employees) or 20 days (for employers with 51 or more employees) of unpaid, job-protected leave for employees with a child receiving neonatal intensive care. The leave does not replace existing frameworks such as the Family and Medical Leave Act. It operates alongside them, and in some cases in addition to them.

That distinction matters because employees who are eligible for FMLA may be entitled to NICU leave on top of their FMLA entitlement, while some employees who are not eligible for FMLA may still qualify for NICU leave. The result is a new category of leave that must be tracked and administered separately.

As with other job-protected leave laws, exposure typically arises not from a direct denial of leave, but from:

- counting protected absences against the employee,
- failing to properly reinstate the employee, or
- inconsistencies in how the leave is documented and applied.

The remedies are familiar—reinstatement, back pay, and attorneys' fees—but the risk is created by how the leave is administered in practice.

Practical takeaway: Employers should ensure that NICU leave is clearly integrated into existing leave and attendance systems before June 1, 2026.

Additional Amendments: Small Changes, Same Administrative Risk

Several narrower amendments that took effect late last year or in 2026 create similar compliance issues.

The Employee Blood and Organ Donation Leave Act (820 ILCS 149) now extends organ donation leave protections to part-time employees. This requires employers to revisit eligibility determinations and compensation calculations.

The Nursing Mothers in the Workplace Act (See 820 ILCS 260) now requires employers to compensate employees for break time used to express breast milk at their regular rate of pay. This is a wage-and-hour change with direct implications for payroll and timekeeping systems.

Amendments to the Military Leave Act (820 ILCS 151) also expand certain leave protections, including leave related to military funeral honors and similar obligations. These changes require employers to account for additional protected absences within existing attendance and leave systems.

Illinois also amended the Victims' Economic Security and Safety Act (820 ILCS 180) to expand protections for

employees who use employer-issued devices to document or record certain violent or criminal conduct in the workplace.

Individually, these amendments are modest. The risk is that they affect systems employers already use every day.

Errors in eligibility determinations, time tracking, payroll treatment, or internal documentation can create exposure, particularly where those errors affect multiple employees or pay periods.

Practical takeaway: These changes require targeted updates to existing systems—and consistent execution in practice.

A Real-World Scenario: Where These Changes Collide

Consider a common situation: An employee is flagged by an internal system for attendance issues. The system aggregates data and recommends discipline. Around the same time, the employee takes intermittent NICU-related leave and has previously raised workplace concerns.

HR proceeds with discipline based on the attendance record.

At first glance, the decision appears straightforward. But the risk can develop quickly.

The NICU absences must be excluded from discipline. The situation becomes riskier if managers or HR have internal communications showing frustration with the employee for having previously raised workplace concerns. Errors in how the leave is tracked or documented can compound those issues.

What begins as a routine attendance decision can quickly evolve into a multi-issue claim because of flaws in how the decision was documented, coded, and communicated.

Key Takeaways for Employers

- Review employment agreements and templates for compliance with the Illinois Workplace Transparency Act
- Update leave administration and attendance systems to account for the Family Neonatal Intensive Care Leave Act and other newly protected absences
- Audit payroll and timekeeping practices for compliance with the Nursing Mothers in the Workplace Act
- Reevaluate eligibility, compensation, and documentation practices for part-time and other protected leave categories
- Review workplace documentation and device-use policies in light of the recent VESSA amendments
- Ensure front-line managers apply these changes consistently in day-to-day decisions

Bottom Line

By this point in 2026, the legal changes are only part of the story. The harder part for Illinois employers is operational: making sure existing agreements, policies, payroll practices, and leave administration actually match the law as it now stands.